

If this Transcript is to be reported or published, there is a requirement to ensure that no reporting restriction will be breached. This is particularly important in relation to any case involving a sexual offence, where the victim is guaranteed lifetime anonymity (Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 1992), or where an order has been made in relation to a young person.

This Transcript is Crown Copyright. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part other than in accordance with relevant licence or with the express consent of the Authority. All rights are reserved.

IN THE CROWN COURT AT BRADFORD

Case No. T20177306

Bradford Combined Court
The Law Courts Exchange Square
Drake Street, Bradford
West Yorkshire
BD1 1JA

Tuesday, 29 January 2019

Before:

HIS HONOUR JUDGE DURHAM HALL QC
THE RECORDER OF BRADFORD

R E G I N A

- v -

BASHARAT IQBAL KHALIQ
SAEED AKHTAR
NAVEED AKHTAR
PARVAZE AFZAL AHMED
IZAR KHAN HUSSAIN
KIERAN HARRIS
ZEESHAN ALI
FAHIM IQBAL
MOHAMMED USMAN

**REPORTING RESTRICTIONS APPLY:
SECTION 4(2) OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT 1981**

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF [PERSON A]

APPEARANCES

MS K. MELLY QC and MS S. BEATTIE (instructed by the Crown Prosecution Service) appeared on behalf of the Prosecution

MR A. IQBAL QC and MR F. ARSHAD appeared on behalf of the Defendant Khaliq

MR P. MOULSON QC and MR A. SHAKOOR appeared on behalf of the Defendant Saeed Akhtar

MR R. FRIEZE appeared on behalf of the Defendant Naveed Akhtar

MR A. BELL appeared on behalf of the Defendant Ahmed

MS G. KELLY appeared on behalf of the Defendant Hussain

MR G. WILSON appeared on behalf of the Defendant Harris

MS F. HERTZOG appeared on behalf of the Defendant Ali

MR A. DALLAS appeared on behalf of the Defendant Iqbal

MR R. FERM appeared on behalf of the Defendant Usman

(Transcript prepared without the aid of documentation)

- A**
- (10.12 a.m.)
- (The witness returned)
- B** JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Okay. Just getting everybody into position. Thank you. Good. All here. Well done. Mr Ferm is going to finish. We're going to have an earlier break than before Ms Melly re-examines. All right? So -- for good reason. So, Mr Ferm, please. Thank you. Okay. Right.
- C** MR FERM: [Person A1], when we stopped yesterday I'd just moved from 12 July 2011 to 13 July 2011. I'd like you, please, to turn in the bundle that you have to p.9. And if you find towards the bottom of that page the 13 July 2011.
- A Yes.
- Q Here it's recorded that a diagnostic laparoscopy of the pelvis was undertaken, and a
- D** contraceptive coil was inserted----
- A Yes.
- Q -- and that was in a hospital, wasn't it?
- JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Can we avoid this detail? It's just intrusive, Mr Ferm. I thought we
- E** were looking at using these for dates. I don't want to stop you but----
- MR FERM: It has a link.
- JUDGE DURHAM HALL: All right, fine. We'll see how we go. There you go. I'm sorry, [Person A1].
- A It's okay.
- F** MR FERM: I'm very careful about the sensitivity if I may say so.
- JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Yes. I know.
- MR FERM: I'm only asking insofar as it's prevalent.
- JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Jolly good.
- G** MR FERM: Now, the laparoscopy was keyhole surgery through the abdomen, wasn't it?
- A Yes and the pelvis.
- Q And you had stitches.
- A Yes.
- Q That you were not kept in hospital overnight.
- H** A No.

Q You were discharged with painkillers.

A Yes.

A Q Because this was painful.

A Yes.

Q And continued to be so.

A Yes.

B Q And your grandmother stayed with you overnight on 13 July, didn't she?

A Yes.

Q And how many days after that did your grandmother, [REDACTED] stay overnight with you at [Location C]?

A Just that initial night. I had to have 24 hours of supervision.

C Q So, you had stitches in, it's painful and it continues to be painful, right?

A Yes.

Q And look at the next entry, please, on p.9.

A Yes.

D Q On 19 July Dr [REDACTED] (?) at the [REDACTED] Practice, you attended on him, didn't you?

A Yes.

Q And he prescribed you ibuprofen and paracetamol and tramadol and lactulose oral solution because you'd developed abdominal pain and nausea once your painkillers had run out following your laparoscopy.

E A Yes.

Q Right. So, you're still in pain by 19 July and that drove you to go to the doctor.

A Yes.

F Q And obviously to have sexual intercourse whilst you were suffering pain with your stitches in that condition would have been very painful, wouldn't it, and unpleasant?

A Yes.

Q And that is not something that you remember.

A I have already stated I don't remember the dates of which -- when the incidents happened.

G So, I'm not gonna say to you it didn't happen or it did happen on any of these dates. The only date that I can say with certainty that it didn't happen is the date of the initial operation. Any other date preceding or for -- before that or after that I, I could not tell you. So----

Q Now I'd like you to move to 20 July, please, the following day and I'd like you to go to D19.

A Where?

H Q D19, p.19.

A Yes.

Q On 20 July you were interviewed for a psychological assessment, weren't you?

A

A Yes.

Q By Dr [REDACTED].

A Yes.

Q A female psychologist. And you had a long session with her, didn't you?

B

A Yes.

Q And you understood that she would expect you to co-operate with the assessment.

A Yes.

Q And to open up.

A Yes.

C

Q And in fact if you look at the bottom of p.19 you did say something to Dr [REDACTED] about being raped, didn't you?

A Yes.

Q That that was something that occurred years before the 20 July 2011.

D

A Yes.

Q But it must be something that you volunteered to her rather than her having to ask you.

A We talked about -- we talked about different stuff. I talked about every aspect of things that social care already were aware of. I didn't go into detail about stuff that people weren't already aware of. We had conversations surrounding stuff that she already had reports on from different social cares and stuff like that. So, I could not tell you whether I brought it up or she brought it up but it was brought up because it was common knowledge that that happened.

E

Q What we don't find, and in fairness to you this is only a summary, but what we don't find in either here or in the longer note of Dr [REDACTED]' interview with you is any suggestion that you had been recently raped. Is that right?

F

A Yes because, as I said, we was talking about stuff that were common knowledge. I didn't bring up anything in that whole process that wasn't already common knowledge to her. I was trying to fight to get my daughter back. I didn't need more stress in my life at that time. My full focus and number one priority was trying to get my daughter back and not going through stuff like this at that time.

G

Q And so there is no reference to a man called Mani----

A No.

H

Q -- at all in that interview, is there?

- A** A No but I've already stated on multiple occasions that I didn't tell anyone about what had happened, so there wouldn't be no mention because if I had have told someone I would have already told you all.
- Q Would you now turn back a page to p.18 and I'm going to move to the 22 July where again there's a record in relation to you. If you look just along the line of the hole punch.
- A Yes.
- B** Q Reference to [Person A1] stating on 22 July "A man she vaguely knew came into her home and smashed up the house." That's the incident with [REDACTED], isn't it?
- A Yes.
- Q And presumably that may have happened on 21 July because it---
- A Yes.
- C** Q Yes, and that's the occasion when [Person H] was there or one of them, isn't it?
- A Yes.
- Q Is [Person H] still living in Bradford and alive as far as you're aware?
- A Yes.
- D** Q And you speak to her.
- A No.
- Q Have you told the police where to find her?
- A Yes. The police already knew her name. It wouldn't be hard to track her down.
- E** Q Now I want to ask you about 24 July and here I'm going to ask you to go to p.29 and a police record. Do you have that [Person A1]?
- A Yeah.
- Q Just look at the top of the page. Do we see at the top left-hand corner -- the jury will have this document in due course but not now. On 24 July at 7.50 in the evening the police receive a call from you, don't they, 999?
- F** A Yes.
- Q To attend at 3 [Location C] in Allerton in Bradford and at the bottom of the page we get what you were calling about. Right?
- G** A Yes.
- Q Or at least the police record: "The caller," that's you----
- A Yes.
- Q -- "is reporting that two girls had come to the locus now and put her window through."
Right?
- H** A Yes.

Q "They have come in through the door and assaulted the caller."

A Yes.

A Q So, you turn over----

A They stabbed me in the mouth.

Q Turn over the page, please. "They have cut her mouth open and cut her hair off."

A Yes. They stabbed me in the mouth with a pair of scissors and cut my hair off.

B Q I think you were stabbed in the mouth with a pair of scissors, weren't you?

A Yes.

JUDGE DURHAM HALL: What date was this again?

MR FERM: 21 July.

A 2011.

C Q And you named further down two suspects and gave some descriptions of them.

A Yes.

Q They were females, weren't they?

A Yes.

D Q Presumably the injury to your mouth didn't heal overnight.

A No.

Q And took some days.

A Yes.

E Q And that injury would mean that you were unlikely to have sexual intercourse or----

A Yes but the difference is I didn't have sexual intercourse. I was raped. I didn't volunteer myself for sexual intercourse. So, whether or not my mouth was hurting or not wouldn't have changed the matter.

F Q I agree that you can't consent to rape if a man forces himself upon you and you didn't. I'm not suggesting that. What I'm investigating with you is whether this actually happened at all.

A Yes it did happen.

Q And you do not relate any of these two alleged occasions against Mr Usman, Mani, to any time when you were sore around the mouth because you had got an injury, did you?

G A I've already stated on multiple occasions that I cannot remember exactly when the incident happened. I have told you the beginning date and the end date of -- it happened in between them dates. I have already stated on numerous occasions that I cannot tell you which dates.

Q Before I move to 30 July and the timeline again in a moment, can we agree that you accept that you did not tell any of the professionals anything about being recently raped?

H

A A Yes we can agree. I've already said that both in my video evidence and multiple occasions on the stand that I didn't tell anyone about it. I've already given my reasons for not telling people as well.

Q Now I'd like to move to 30 July and at this stage I hope the jury will look at the timeline. Now, this is an occasion when the police were called to your house by you.

A Yes.

B Q And [Person K1] was staying with you overnight.

A Yes.

Q At the time you and [Person K1] were close friends.

A Yes.

C Q Over the last few years maybe you haven't seen that much of her. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q But you are still on good terms.

A Yeah.

Q There have been no significant fall outs.

D A No.

Q And she has no reason to tell any lies about what happened on that occasion.

A No.

Q Thank you. Now, what we have -- please go to the timeline, [Person A1].

E A Yes.

Q On 30 July is an extract from the police log.

A Yeah.

Q

F "My ex-boyfriend called Mani is in my house. He told me to open the door and he walked in. He has been there five o'clock today [that's five in the morning]. He has urinated over the carpet and he is very drunk. He has not hit me but has been aggressive towards me and picked up items to -- as though he was going to throw them at me. His friends have said if I call the police he will break my jaw. I walked up the road from my house but I can still see it if he leaves."

G

Now, that's the police record.

A Yes.

H Q So, the police come to the house and you make a complaint about Mani. Right?

A No. I don't make a complaint about Mani. They just removed him from the property.

Q Now, your evidence is that in the house first of all he tried to strangle you.

A Yes.

A Q But there's no record in the police record attendance, in the police log of anything like that being said.

A Like I said, I didn't make a -- I didn't make -- like I said, I didn't make a complaint about it. I just told the police enough stuff to get him taken from the property.

B Q So, you told the police but the police for some reason didn't write it down.

A I told -- (inaudible) I've told them there he's been aggressive towards me, picking up items, being threatening. I did tell them stuff. I didn't go into details. Like I've just said, I didn't make a complaint. It was a brief phone call for the police to come and get him out of my property. I didn't tell them the ins and outs of everything that happened. I just told them enough stuff for them to come and for them to remove him from the property.

C Q Well, did you tell the police or not, either in the 999 call or when the two officers attended----

A I didn't speak to the two officers at all when they turned up. They walked into my house while I was hid in a snicket with [Person K1]. They removed him from the property, put him in the back of the car and they drove off. I didn't speak to the police officers at all. I had a brief phone call with the police saying, "Can you come and take him out of my house. He's, he's doing this, that and the other." I didn't tell them every detail because it was a brief phone call and they came and they removed him and that's the only contact I had with the police about that occasion. So, no, I didn't tell them every single detail because it was a brief phone call and I didn't take on -- I didn't put in any complaint and I didn't speak to any police officers in person.

D A I didn't speak to the two officers at all when they turned up. They walked into my house while I was hid in a snicket with [Person K1]. They removed him from the property, put him in the back of the car and they drove off. I didn't speak to the police officers at all. I had a brief phone call with the police saying, "Can you come and take him out of my house. He's, he's doing this, that and the other." I didn't tell them every detail because it was a brief phone call and they came and they removed him and that's the only contact I had with the police about that occasion. So, no, I didn't tell them every single detail because it was a brief phone call and I didn't take on -- I didn't put in any complaint and I didn't speak to any police officers in person.

E A I didn't speak to the two officers at all when they turned up. They walked into my house while I was hid in a snicket with [Person K1]. They removed him from the property, put him in the back of the car and they drove off. I didn't speak to the police officers at all. I had a brief phone call with the police saying, "Can you come and take him out of my house. He's, he's doing this, that and the other." I didn't tell them every detail because it was a brief phone call and they came and they removed him and that's the only contact I had with the police about that occasion. So, no, I didn't tell them every single detail because it was a brief phone call and I didn't take on -- I didn't put in any complaint and I didn't speak to any police officers in person.

Q So, the police didn't take a statement from you----

A No.

F Q -- about him trying to strangle you as you allege.

A No.

Q Did you tell the police that at any stage?

A I've just told you I do not remember whether I said them exact words. I told them he was being aggressive, he was threatening me, he was saying he was gonna break my jaw, multiple stuff like that. I told them enough information on the phone for them to come and remove him. They chose not to come back and take any statement from me. They didn't speak to me in person. Not every single detail would have been said over that brief few minute phone call. It's not like we were on the phone for an hour talking about stuff. I rang the police to get him removed from my property. I don't think I needed to have gone into every single

G A I've just told you I do not remember whether I said them exact words. I told them he was being aggressive, he was threatening me, he was saying he was gonna break my jaw, multiple stuff like that. I told them enough information on the phone for them to come and remove him. They chose not to come back and take any statement from me. They didn't speak to me in person. Not every single detail would have been said over that brief few minute phone call. It's not like we were on the phone for an hour talking about stuff. I rang the police to get him removed from my property. I don't think I needed to have gone into every single

H A I've just told you I do not remember whether I said them exact words. I told them he was being aggressive, he was threatening me, he was saying he was gonna break my jaw, multiple stuff like that. I told them enough information on the phone for them to come and remove him. They chose not to come back and take any statement from me. They didn't speak to me in person. Not every single detail would have been said over that brief few minute phone call. It's not like we were on the phone for an hour talking about stuff. I rang the police to get him removed from my property. I don't think I needed to have gone into every single

A

detail on that initial phone call. That's the whole point in statements is that you then go into the details on the statements. I don't think anyone that rings the police initially says every single detail of an incident that's happened in that initial phone call and that's why people take statements.

Q Well, what about the small detail that he had come to the house and tried to have sex with you against your will?

B

A No. I didn't mention that but as I said, the police didn't come back and take no statement and obviously I was -- I've already given my reasons for not reporting rapes to the police, not just with him but with other people. I've already explained myself multiple times on that category of questioning by each and every single one of the barristers in this room.

C

Q So, the detail that he, according to you, raped you on two previous occasions wasn't told to the police either.

D

A No. Like I have said, no one came back and took a statement. Maybe if they'd have come back afterwards and took a statement, maybe I would have told them but like I said, it was a phone call to the police to get him taken from my property. A brief phone call. No police officers came and saw me afterwards to take a statement. No police officers spoke to me on the scene. They went into the house, they took him from the house, put him in the back of the car and they drove off without speak to me at all. So, no, not in that brief little phone call when I rang the police for assistance I didn't. Maybe if they would have come back and followed up, maybe I would have.

E

Q Did you call him "My ex-boyfriend" on the phone?

A Yes because he forced me to be in a relationship with him and I've stated that in the video evidence. I've never once said that he didn't force me to be in a relationship. He is a very intimidating man and he told me I was his girlfriend and I was a young lass that had suffered years of abuse and I went with it because I were too scared not to.

F

Q Was he your ex-boyfriend?

A If I look back now, no. He most certainly was not. At the time I didn't have a choice whether I was going out with him or not. I'm a 25-year-old girl now and I have gained my own independence and I have been away from these men for----

G

Q Some days after this----

A -- for seven years, so now I have managed to build up my own choice. I have managed to make sure that no man will ever do what these men did to me ever again. I am 25-year-old now and I have been through a heck of a lot and now as a 25-year-old I know he most certainly was not my boyfriend. As a 17-year-old abused girl that had been suffering abuse

H

since she was 13-year-old yes, I did think he was my boyfriend at the time because I didn't think I had a choice.

A Q Didn't you say last time I asked you about this that he'd never been your boyfriend?

B A Like I have just said now as a 25-year-old, he most certainly was not my boyfriend. As a 17-year-old in that moment, in that time, with the mindset that I had after years of abuse I knew no different. I didn't know I could say, "No". I didn't know I had a choice. I was too scared to say, "No," because every time I did try and say, "No," his friends would threaten to break my jaw or he'd threaten me with vodka bottles and say he were gonna smash 'em round my face. Stuff like that. So, yeah, I think as a 17-year-old girl rather than get bottled by a vodka bottle I was gonna go with what was happening because I had no choice. I didn't want them to kill me.

C Q A few days after that you got a domestic violence letter from the police, didn't you?

A Yes.

Q Telling you how and why and in what circumstances you could make complaints to the police.

D A No. The letter was for support following domestic violence. It wasn't to do with the police. It was to do with support following it, like ██████████ which is a charity that support victims of domestic violence. So, the letter was about support following an incident of domestic violence, not about how I could make a complaint.

E Q But anyway, you already knew the procedure for reporting a rape, didn't you?

F A Yes. I also knew that it went nowhere. So, what was the point? If you -- if you want to keep bringing up these rapes over and over again maybe bring up the details about the fact that nothing went nowhere and there were no examinations. There were no evidence gathering in any of the cases. If you -- if you're willing to bring it up, you should bring up all the details surrounding it, shouldn't you, to see exactly why I was in the mindset that no one was gonna help me when I got raped because no one ever did every time I mentioned something like that to any type of professional be that the police, social care, Barnardo's, other workers that were involved in my life then. Nothing ever went further than that person. So, why am I gonna keep on doing it? I did it more than enough times. I spent years sometimes building myself up to the point where I finally told someone, and nothing ever happened. So, it's traumatic enough saying it in the first place, never mind repeating it over and over again to professionals that are doing nothing about that situation.

G Q When Mani came to the house on that occasion, there was -- the TV was turned on. Is that right?

H

A I don't know. I can't -- we were walking up. On that occasion with the police are you on about? That occasion with the police?

A Q Yes.

A I was walking home at 5.00 in the morning. They would be -- they must have been driving past my house and stuff like that because as I was walking up the road they pulled up outside my house and sort of followed -- well, a bit further down from my house and followed me all the way up the road in the car and pulled up outside my house. There's no way it's a coincidence that I came home at 5.00 in the morning and they just happened to drive up that same road. They were following me home.

C I went into the house, as I would because it's my house, and they walked straight in. I don't know about the TV. I'm pretty sure no TV were turned on because I remember being stood in the kitchen saying I didn't want him there and he -- and his mate, [REDACTED] or whatever he's called saying they were gonna break my jaw if I didn't let him stay.

D They even -- not only did he threaten me into a situation where I felt no -- that I felt that I couldn't say, "No," to having sex with him, I was also threatened by his friends and him into being around him. I couldn't even go and cut him out of my life. I couldn't even avoid him because even when I avoided him I were getting threatened by him and his friends saying they were gonna break my jaw if I didn't put up with him and have him in my house.

E Q Did you have neighbours at [Location C]?

A Yes.

Q And how long altogether did you live at [Location C]?

A Not very long. I don't know.

F Q A matter of months?

A Yes.

Q [Location C] was a party house, wasn't it?

A No. Like I said, I didn't get given choices about them coming in half of the times. Yes, sometimes I invited friends round and yes, sometimes I did have parties but a lot of the time I had no choice about who was coming to my house. I was completely walked all over. I had lost all power to be able to say, "No," to anyone because every time I tried it, it didn't get me in any better of a situation. I were even threatened or I were beaten up. There's a lot of other incidences that have happened to me that aren't included in this trial.

H

Q Well, however you put it the point I'm seeking to get at, [Person A1], is that more nights than not there were people at your house, weren't there?

A I'm sure everyone's allowed friends around to their houses. That doesn't mean that their house is necessarily a party house.

Q Whether they were invited or mainly uninvited it was a very regular thing that there were people in the house, wasn't it?

A Yes.

Q And that was during the period with which we've been talking about.

A Yes.

Q End of June through July 2011.

A Yes.

Q Thank you. Now, at this point I'm going to invite the jury, before I move on, to look at the indictment in relation to Mr Usman. It's inside s.1, ladies and gentlemen, and you'll find it right at the back on p.10. And you will see the dates in counts 24 and 25 and particularly the end date. Now, I'm going to move on.

MS MELLY: Is that a question for [Person A3]? Does she want to have a look at the indictment?

A No, it's all right.

MS MELLY: Okay.

A I've looked at more than enough paperwork to do with this questioning.

MR FERM: Right. Now, the -- if we go back to the small bundle, please, I'd like to ask you about another attendance at the doctor on 8 August 2011.

A What page?

Q And you'll find this, I think, at page -- bear with me, please, [Person A1]. At p.10. Do you see the top of the page on 8 August 2011?

A Yes.

Q Dr [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED] saw [Person A1] who reported she had punched someone who had broken into her home two weeks ago and now had a tender, swollen hand. Right?

A Yes. I don't think it were someone that broke into my house. I think it was [REDACTED] the incident with [REDACTED] that I've already mentioned before.

Q An x-ray of the hand was arranged, right, and you were referred to psychology for therapy to start prior to the court hearing on 14 October 2011.

A Yes.

Q That's the only part of the entry there I'm going to put to you. All right?

A Yes.

A Q But later in September 2011 you were going to an establishment called [REDACTED]. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q And then if we look at the timeline we've got a date of 5 September 2011. If you look at the timeline and I'll read it out into the record. This again is from your medical records:

B "Further letter from [REDACTED] (inaudible), Therapeutic Group Worker at the [REDACTED] Centre within Lynfield Mount Hospital in Bradford. He talks about an appointment today and stated [Person A1]'s mood is deteriorating and she has been drinking alcohol again as well as blocking out emotions."

C A Yes because I had been through that much abuse that as a 17-year-old girl I had to be an outpatient at the mental health hospital for two years and go there three times a week just to be able to learn how to function in normal life.

D Q Just going back briefly so I can put my case in relation to 30 July, Mani arrived, he was drunk. Right?

A Yes.

Q He wasn't particularly welcome.

E A No.

Q You wanted him to go.

A Yes because he had raped me two times before that.

Q He was difficult. He was difficult about that, wasn't he?

A I was threatened into letting him stay. That's a bit more than difficulty.

F Q That he never threatened you.

A Yes he did.

Q He never tried to strangle you.

A Yes he did on more than that occasion as well.

G Q And in fact he's never been violent to you, has he?

A Yes.

Q And he's never had any form of sexual contact with you, has he?

A Yes.

H Q Now, I want to ask you finally about an entry in your medical records that you'll find at p.3 of the bundle and this is moving forward to 19 June 2012.

A Yes.

Q Now, this is from a consultant psychiatrist, Dr [REDACTED] who you were seeing. Right?

A

A Yes.

Q And he writes as follows. This is the third paragraph down beginning "More recently".

A Yes.

Q

B

"More recently there have been two incidents where you've done something to a friend in the belief that they had just said something very insulting towards your face."

A Yes.

C

Q

"Later it became apparent that this was something you'd imagined and was not in fact reality when the witnesses who were present were able to tell you that."

D

A Them two incidents was the beginning of my psychotic break. Them two incidents are the only incidents that happened before my full psychotic break and I recognised them incidents afterwards and knew they weren't right. It's completely different to an incident that actually happened and then a psychotic break. I knew them incidents weren't real after the break, after you have your lucid moments. The incidents with Mani I did not imagine. I was not in the middle of a psychotic break. They happened. He threatened me on more than one occasion and on more than one occasion there were witnesses to this threatening behaviour and this aggression and these assaults. There was [Person H]. There were [REDACTED] There was [Person K1]. There was a long list of people that witnessed these and I can tell you 100 per cent I was not imagining anything and I was not in no psychotic break. Everything that happened to me at the hands of all of these people and lastly him was what led me to have a psychotic break.

E

F

Q I'm going to read on:

G

"There were also a further two incidents where she was seeing and talking to relatives and friends who weren't there."

H

A That was actually only one incident but they have mistaken it and wrote two. There was one incident and it was in Shipley and that's when I attended for this appointment straight after

A that incident. The other two incidents were the day before. Then this third incident where I imagined that I saw someone, which is what happens when you have psychosis. I imagined that and I knew there was something wrong because within that two-day period this had happened a couple of times and I took myself to Lynfield Mount straightaway without no delay. I got prescribed sleeping tablets and I then went on and got help to do with that. I spent the next three year on antipsychotic medication after that, bettering myself. I knew what happened. There's no questioning about the incidents with Mani were real and this, this was within a two-day period that I had psychotic breaks, not a long build-up over however long.

B
C Q I think, [Person A1], if you look at the record it's more than one occasion that this happened and I'm going to read on:

"It involved many members of friends and family. On each occasion it lasted between one and two hours."

D A No. They've wrote that wrong. It did -- the psychotic break I had, which was in Shipley while I was living at my uncle's house, after I had moved out from [Location C], so way after the incident with Mani. I was living with my uncle and during that time, during -- there were two incidents beforehand, then there was a sleepover night, then I woke up the next morning into a full psychotic break which lasted a long period of time. Yes, I saw multiple relatives during that time. Yes, nothing made sense because I was having a psychotic break and like I said, it was over a two-day period that these incidents happened and then I took myself to Lynfield Mount. Nowhere in this does it state how long there was between incidents. Nowhere in this does it state that it happened more than the two times a day before and the one time on that day. Like I've said, I went and got myself help straightaway because I knew there was something not right. There's a complete difference between getting raped and having a psychotic break.

F MR FERM: Thank you. They are all the questions I have got for you.

G A Thank you.

JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Mr Ferm won't mind. May I just ask this now?

A Yes.

JUDGE DURHAM HALL: It's not in dispute that Mani was a visitor, is it?

H MR FERM: Oh no.

JUDGE DURHAM HALL: No.

MR FERM: When we hear the police interviews he admitted that straightaway.

JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Thank you, lest there be any doubt. Thank you so much, Mr Ferm.

A Just take a seat.

MS MELLY: Just before we commence the break and the re-examination, I queried with

Mr Ferm yesterday just as regard the question that your Honour asks that Mr Usman accepts being present during occasions and I just wasn't clear whether he accepts it over the period -- same period of time that [Person A3] says that he----

B JUDGE DURHAM HALL: It's got to be post [Location C], hasn't it?

MR FERM: I disagree with that interpretation, but I don't think the -- the jury have to see the interviews and then put it in context. I am not going to answer Ms Melly's point at the moment.

C JUDGE DURHAM HALL: No, no, no, I don't expect you to. That's fine. She can come back to it. This is my question, nobody else's, Mr Ferm, and I know you will help and [Person A1] will. There's no doubt that Mani was a visitor at [Location C].

MR FERM: No. Not at all.

D JUDGE DURHAM HALL: Good. Thank you so much, [Person A1]. Do take a seat, will you? Just take a seat. We are going to have a break but I need to just deal with this.

(10.51 a.m.)

E

F

G

H

A

CERTIFICATE

Opus 2 International Ltd. hereby certifies that the above is an accurate and complete record of the proceedings or part thereof.

B

*Transcribed by **Opus 2 International Ltd.**
(Incorporating Beverley F. Nunnery & Co.)
Official Court Reporters and Audio Transcribers
5 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BF*

C

Tel: 020 7831 5627 Fax: 020 7831 7737

criminal@opus2.digital

D

E

F

G

H